Page 1 of 22

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 06 Civ. 0589 (CGE)

ANUCHA BROWNE-SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MADISON SQUARE GARDEN, L.P., ISIAH LORD THOMAS, III and JAMES DOLAN,

Defendants.

1501 Broadway New York, New York

November 30, 2006 10:10 a.m.

DEPOSITION of MADISON SQUARE

GARDEN by HANK RATNER, one of the Defendants in the above-entitled action, held at the above time and place, taken before Barbara P. Goldsmith, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and stipulations between Counsel.

69 H. RATNER Ms. Browne-Sanders' role at forecast meetings, what was your understanding of how long Ms. Browne-Sanders had been the P&L manager? MR. GREEN: As of when? As of when? As of what date she became --MR. GREEN: I don't mean to confuse you. I object to the form. MS. VLADECK: That's already done. MR. GREEN: I don't know whether the question was asking the witness to answer as of a certain date. MS. VLADECK: Okay. I can Was it your understanding

rephrase it.

Q. that Ms. Browne-Sanders was P&L manager from the date she was hired, or did she become the P&L manager at some time during her tenure?

Α. She was not the date she was hired. She became sometime during her

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-25

Q .

70 1 H. RATNER 2 tenure. And do you know when during 3 Q. her tenure she became P&L manager? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Did she attend the strategy, Q. 7 budget or forecast meetings prior to 8 becoming a P&L manager? 9 I don't know. 10 involvement at the Garden preceded my 11 involvement with the Garden. 12 Q. Was she present at the meetings, strategy, forecast and 13 budget, from the day you became 14 15 involved at the Garden? 16 Well, it wasn't necessarily Α. 17 from the day I became involved. 18 think my first real involvement in the 19 Garden budget process was probably for calendar year 2005, the 2005 budget 20 21 which was --22 0. Was that in 2004? 23 Α. It would have been the end of '04. And that, I think, is my first 24 involvement in a budget cycle at the 25

```
71
1
                    H. RATNER
2
    Garden and really my, you know, first
    involvement with a lot of the stuff at
4
    the Garden as opposed to where I had
5
    been previously.
             And from that time, was
        Q.
7
    Ms. Browne-Sanders, to your knowledge,
8
    P&L manager?
9
              I think it might have been
10
    right around there, but again, I
    don't know. I'm not a hundred percent
11
12
    sure.
13
             And what was
        Q.
14
    Ms. Browne-Sanders' role at forecast
15
    meetings?
16
           Her role was to present the
17
    forecast.
18
              Now, at each of these
        Q.
19
    meetings where Ms. Browne-Sanders was
20
    present, was Mr. Mills present?
21
            Again, no specific
    recollection, but I think he would have
22
23
    been.
24
        Q.
             And was Mr. Cudmore present?
25
              Again, no specific
        Α.
```

80 1 H. RATNER 2 Somewhere between 10 and 20, Q. 3 or 15? 4 Α. Ten and 15 maybe. Again, I'm being clear with you. I am sort of 5 6 guessing on that number. 7 Did you form an impression as Q. 8 to her performance? 9 Α. I did. 10 Q. And what was your impression? 11 Α. Underwhelming. 12 What do you mean by that? Q. 13 I didn't think she had the Α. goods or temperament to do the job that 14 15 we needed done. 16 And when did you form that 17 impression? 18 Α. You know, I can't particularly pinpoint exactly when it 19 20 occurred, when I first started having 21 concerns, but it was clear to me that 22 she didn't have a grasp of what it was 23 going to take to get her job done, that 24 she didn't have a grasp for the financial aspects of her position, and 25

H. RATNER

that when you try to have conversations, she was always very defensive and not very open minded to viewing things differently than she would instinctively view them.

- Q. Anything else?
- A. I'm -- yes, I'm sure.
- Q. Well, what specific incidents made you believe that she didn't have the goods or temperament to get the job done?

A. You know, as we went through, I mean, I had a limited number of interactions with her. But I start off, you know, sort of my view by saying this is the P&L manager for the Knicks. It's a big job and a big opportunity and a wonderful asset that needs to be run well and also needs to grow. So the person who's in the position now of being the P&L manager, really should be a person who's going to be open minded, creative, figuring out ways to not only operate the

H. RATNER

business aspect of the franchise, but also to grow the franchise.

You know, my interactions really started, I would guess, sometime throughout 2004 as I began -- started getting involved with the Garden. No specific recollection of what was occurring there other than in the interactions which may have been in some forecast meetings, just going through and not getting the confidence that I needed that the person, Anucha, was really understanding the job and all that could be done in that job.

Then we get to my real first budget process, which is now for the 2005 fiscal year, and it was very important to us that everything was done on a zero based budget and everything was relooked at and we just didn't roll forward our previous budgets and our previous budgets and our previous assumptions.

So, you know, I have no

H. RATNER

specific recollection of the strategy meetings other than I don't think they went well in that when we tried to be open minded and discuss thinking more broadly about the opportunity of the Knicks and the running of the Knicks, you know, my recollection is it's sort of was met with resistance. It was met with a lot of this is the way we do it. And where I was coming from, it's really about start over again and let's figure out if we were starting, you know, this franchise today, what do you do today, what are the strategies today, what are the business opportunities today, and don't lock yourself into the past.

And again, without specific recollection, there wasn't a lot of open minded behavior taking place. It was very, very defensive and sort of it makes very difficult to run a business and move forward if everybody is not open minded to change, because that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

H. RATNER

the one constant we're always going to have in the world, change.

You know, we then moved on and we got to the budgets and, you know, Anucha's command for the business of the Knicks just wasn't acceptable to me, you know, as the person sitting in the center. You know, she needed to be responsible for the business aspects of what was taking place. So for instance, you know, how many ushers were going to be at the event. How many security guards were going to be at the event. Workers are going to be at the event.

Now, in our structure, those groups reporting to a facilities group. Because facilities is our in-house expert on ushers and security and food service. But the premise of our organization is that that facilities group works for the P&L manager. In almost like a, if you went to a third party vendor, you hired the third party

H. RATNER

vendor and the third party vendor works for you and performs certain services for you. But that doesn't mean you don't have responsibility for it. You do, because you have to work out with them what's the prudent level of ushers, security, food and beverage workers, etcetera. And those were the type conversations that were very frustrating because those were the kind of conversations which were well, that's sort of facilities, that's not me. And that was just dead wrong, as we tried to explain, you know, over and over again.

The same thing with sponsorship sales and ad sales. We have a centralized group that sells sponsorship sales and ad sales, and that group works for, you know, the individual entity. It's like an ad sales rep. So they work for the individual entity, whether it's the Knicks, whether it's the Rangers. It'

H. RATNER

the same thing, you know, for other
parts of the company as well. But they
have to please the business person
who's running the business unit as
opposed to the business person
abdicating those responsibilities and
basically well, that's ad sales or
that's facilities.

And we had a very difficult time with Anucha in taking responsibility for those numbers. And, again, those numbers aren't numbers that just are presented to you. The job of the P&L manager is to go and dive into those numbers and to understand why we're doing what we're doing and get behind the numbers and be satisfied that those are the right numbers, whether they be expense or revenue.

And you know, I really felt that there was that disconnect that, you know, she wasn't getting it, she wasn't necessarily capable of getting

H. RATNER

it. She didn't have, I think, the real financial skills needed, and she was very territorial. She didn't cooperate well with others, so these interdependencies made it difficult.

So the concerns I started having from limited contact in '04 became magnified by the budget process for the '05 fiscal year.

Q. And when was the budget meeting at which you say that it became magnified or the budget process, what time period was that?

A. You know, I'm not sure. I mean, I was guessing which I guess I shouldn't be doing, it would be the November, December. You know, sometimes budgets fall over and they don't get done and you use something for purposes of, you know, doing your consolidated look for the year, but you may continue the process beyond. I don't really remember in this instance what happened or what the timing was.

92 1 H. RATNER Mr. Pollichino for 20 years, given he 2 was at Rainbow back in the beginning 3 4 when I was at Rainbow. So I consider 5 him a value and trusted advisor in addition to his role as head of 6 7 finance. And given my views, I wanted 8 to solicit what his views were. 9 Anyone else other than Mr. Mills and Mr. Pollichino? 10 11 Not that I can recall. Α. 12 How many occasions did you Q. 13 have to --14 Α. Not at this point in time, 15 where we are. 16 Q. Well, did you talk about Ms. Browne-Sanders at a later point in 17 18 time? 19 Α. Subsequently, yeah. 20 0. When was that? 21 That would have been at -around some of the forecast meetings 22 23 that went on later in 2005. 24 And when was later? Q. 25 You know, I'm not sure.

H. RATNER

we should end her employment was more around the forecast meetings that happened later that year, that ended up in getting her some training.

- Q. And those are the forecast meetings that you don't recall when they occurred?
 - A. Right.

- Q. And what did he say to you, what did Mr. Mills say to you?
- A. Well, at this point, it was more a three-way conversation with Steve and with Jim. And Jim thought we should offer her the opportunity of some training to work on some of the issues, where my feeling was that, you know, this wasn't a matter of training, but we got her training.
- Q. What percentage of her job was the profit and loss manager part, if you know?
- A. You know, I don't know how you sort of segregate it out. It's sort of profit and loss and then

H. RATNER

everything kind of, you know, sits below that. It's managing that business.

- Q. Now, did Mr. Mills agree or disagree when you said to him words to the effect that she should be fired?
- A. You know, I don't recall if he did or if he didn't. You know, Jim really wanted to try the training route, so it sort of ended the dialogue.
- Q. Did you, either on your own or ask Mr. Mills, to talk to either Mr. McCormack or anyone else in human resources about the procedures to follow if someone at her level was to be fired?
 - A. Not that I can recollect, no.
- Q. And is there anything in writing from you or between you and Mr. Mills or you and Mr. Dolan that reflects any of these conversations or your view that Ms. Browne-Sanders was not cutting it?

H. RATNER

an arrogant style to her. She had a very defensive style to her as well. She was not open minded.

Q. Do you know whether -- strike that.

And is it your belief that that arrogant style was present on all occasions that you dealt with her?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. And you said she had a lack of concern about the return on the investment. On what do you base that?

A. You know, we were -- well, she was proposing or actually she had already entered into a deal which was a money loser. And again, in having the conversation with the deal getting revealed as entering into a deal which was without a budget without getting approval, you know, the conversation was met with resistance as to -- the P&L manager should be doing return on investment. It doesn't require me to ask for it. The P&L manager shouldn't

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

142

H. RATNER

necessarily be going forward and entering into transactions that aren't going to help grow the company. And my question seemed to be questions that she was thinking about for the first time when they were being asked.

- Now, who else was at this Q. meeting?
- You know, again, no specific recollection, but a meeting of this type, forecast meeting, it would have been Jim and Steve and John Cudmore, Bob Pollichino, and I'm not sure who else would have been there.
- What, if anything, did Jim Dolan say at the meeting with respect to Last Man Standing?
- You know, I don't remember specifically. He was not pleased with the situation, but I don't remember how that manifested itself with his comments.
 - Well, did he say anything? Q.
 - Well, after the meeting was Α.

H. RATNER

when we decided that or he decided that she should go for training.

- Q. And did he suggest that she go for training with respect to financial issues?
- A. You know, I can't remember what specifically was suggested, but it was training for whatever areas that they needed to help her fix.
- Q. And what areas did you believe you needed to help her fix?
- A. You're asking me what I believe or what Jim believed?
- Q. Either what you believed or what Jim told you he believed.
- A. Well, my belief was she should have been terminated, that she wasn't going to be fixed. If there was going to be training, it would need to be in financial and it would need to be in management style and -- let me think about the right way to say it -- peer cooperation or, you know, getting along with others.

144 1 H. RATNER 2 But to me, what was important, not so much just the 3 particular deal or what happened with 4 the deal, but it was, you know, what 5 the conversation about it revealed, you 6 7 know, once again, at this meeting. 8 What, if anything, did Mr. Mills say at the meeting? 9 10 I don't remember. Α. 11 Do you remember what anybody Q. 12 said other than you and 13 Ms. Browne-Sanders? 14 You know, not specifically, Α. 15 no. 16 And do you remember any of Q. what she actually said or do you just 17 18 remember that she was arrogant and 19 didn't have concern for return on the 20 investment? 21 MR. GREEN: Objection to 22 form, but you can answer that. 23 Α. I don't remember the particular words. It was more of, you 24 25 know, the general expression of, you

H. RATNER

2 conversations with concerning the

3 Garden providing or potentially

4 providing security for

5 Ms. Browne-Sanders?

A. Steve Mills.

Q. And to the extent you can, tell us the whole conversation you had with Mr. Mills about that.

A. There really wasn't much more to it than that. It was if she had security concerns, we should look to address those concerns. And then, you know, it was left in Steve's hands.

Q. And you said, I think, earlier that this is the same conversation you had with Mr. Mills where he came to you to say that she wanted to leave?

A. Yes.

Q. So Steve told you that

Ms. Browne-Sanders said she could no

longer do her job, she wanted to leave,

and you embraced that and said good and
then said let's figure out an

H. RATNER

2 appropriate severance package; is that
3 correct?

- A. Along those lines, yes.
- Q. And then he said, oh, and by the way, there are threats against her or she's concerned about her safety or something else?

 $\label{eq:mr.matrix} \textbf{MR. GREEN: Objection to}$ form.

- A. I don't think he said oh, by the way, but it was, you know, it was part of the conversation that we had.
- Q. Did you ask him from where the threats were coming?
- A. I didn't know of any threats. I just know that she stated that she had some concerns and my understanding, whether expressed or implied, was that it related to those two investigations that had occurred.
- Q. And was there anything else in that conversation you had with Mr. Mills that you recall that you said or he said?

VERITEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY

H. RATNER

- A. I can't recall if we discussed it. It was just the trying to work with her to see what would best work for her exiting to transition to something else and whether that meant, you know, staying with the company for a period of time or whether it meant figuring out a severance amount at that point in time. But that was part of the discussion and that was for Steve to go and work out and figure out.
- Q. And did you tell Mr. Mills to contact a particular security company, did you ask him to call security at the Garden, or something else?
- A. No, neither. I didn't make suggestions along those lines.
- Q. Did Mr. Mills give you the impression that he believed the threats were serious?

MR. GREEN: Objection to form.

A. Yeah, I don't recall, but, you know, vaguely, no, I don't think